

"Q1a"	Q2a	Q2b	Q2c	Q2d	Q3a	Q3b	Q3c	Q3d
187	1	2	1			3	2	3
165	1	1	1			3	2	2 avoid comn
236	3	2	3 too many fr			2	2	3 too many fr
160	2	2	2			2	2	2
205	1	1	1			3	3	3
164	1	1	1 well thought			3	1	1 really good
118	1	2	1			3	2	2
114	1	2	1			3	2	2
116	3	3	3			3	3	3
19	1	1	1			3	2	2
56	3	2	3			2	3	2
55	3	3	3			3	3	2
57	2	2	2			3	3	3
67	3					3		
7	2	2	2			2	2	2
20	3	2	2			3	2	3
9	3	2	3 too much ti			3	2	3 already cha
54	2	2	2 ok some th			2	3	2 just lines of
41	3	3	3			1	1	1
25	3	3	3			3	3	3
31	2	2	2			1	2	2 fill in space
1	1	2			2	2	2	2
23	1	1	1			3	3	3 should be p
26	3	3	3			3	3	3
2			3 no access to my property devalue my property both site lay					
189								
201	2	2	2 bungalows			3	2	2
231	1	1	1 hope this aj			3	3	3
230	1	1	1 this will enf			3	3	3
180	3	3	3			2	2	2
158	1	2	2			1	2	2
130	2	2	2			3	2	3
167	1	1	1			2	2	2
161	2	3	2			2	3	2
142	3	3	3			3	3	3
242	1	1	1			2	2	2
220	2	2	3			2	3	1
214	3					1		
44	2	1	2			2	2	2
203	2	2	2			3	3	3
190	1	2	2			2	2	2
185	1	2	2			2	2	2
177	2	1	2			3	3	3 very poor r
188	3		3			1		1
227	2	2	3			1	2	2 should link
226	2	2	3			1	2	2
29	3	3	3 80 houses 1			1	1	1 21 houses v
61	1	1	1 car park for			2	2	1
192	3	2	3			2	2	3 too many fr
195	2	2	3 am residen			1	1	1
144	3	3	3 too much ir			3	3	3 common la

131	2	2	2	3	3	3 increasing c
124	3	3	3 unacceptable	2	2	2 acceptable
125	3	3	3 leave green	2	3	2
174	3	3	3 green belt r	2	3	2 ugly develo
194	2	2	2 access and	1	1	1
43	3	3	1 back hande	3	3	3 making 2 fa
225	3	3	3	3	3	3
170	1	1	1 more afforc	3	3	3
170	1	1	1 40% more a	3	3	3
166	2	2	2 creeping to	2	2	2
34	1	1	1	2	1	1 difficulties c
162	3	2	3 will cause p	2	2	2
247	3	3	3	3	3	3
74	2	2	2 traffic acce:	2	2	1 good mix of
852		3	3		3	2
235	2	2	2	3	3	3
229	2	2	2	3	3	3
121	2	1	1	1	1	1
135						
93	2	2	2	3	2	2
105	2	2	2	3	2	2
219	1	1	1			3
62	2	1	1	3	2	2
28	3	2	3 would chan	2	2	2
15	3	3	3 totally agai	3	3	3 totally agai
30	2	2	2	3	2	3 close to sch
99	3	2		3		2 depends or
146	1	2	1 best compr	3	3	2 small will r
86	2		3	3		3 not accepta
115	1	1	1	3	2	2
59	3	3	3 traffic on b:	1	1	2
82	3	3	3	3	3	3
122	2	2	2	3	3	3
21	3	3	3 good in pri	3	3	3 removes vil
215	2	1	3	3	1	2
208			3			3
237	2	2	2	3	2	3
238	2	2	2	3	2	3
2	1	3	3 too many h	1	2	3 too many 2
224	2			2		
211	3	2	3 too many h	2	3	2 concern for
210	3	2	3 too large sii	2	2	2
45	2	1	2	2	2	2
151	3	2	3 too many h	3	2	2
68	3			1		
139	1	1	1 good mix of	3	3	3 too near scl
223	1	2	could work if traditiona		3	absolutely l
101	2	2	2	2	1	2
216	3		3 not on gree	3		3 not on gree
248	1	1	2	2	2	2
179	2			3		
141	2			3		

218	3		3 green belt r	3		3 green belt r
159	3	3	3	1	2	1
38	3	2	3	1	2	2 on conditio
163	1	1	2 Priority give	2	1	1 only if park
98	3	2	3 not accepta	1	2	1 can't sustai
95	1	2	2 think throu	3	2	3 common la
129	2	3	3 too many h	3	3	3 land should
106	3			3		
84	1	1	1 build bigger	3	3	3
150	2	2	2	2	2	2
182	2	2	2	3	3	3
168	3			3		
145	1			2		
143	3	3	3	3	3	3
91	1	1	1	3	3	3
127	3	2	2 not happy \	3	2	close to sch
154	2	2	2	2	2	2
128	2	3	3	2	3	3
132	2	2	2	3	3	3
133	2	2	2	3		
222	2	2	2 too many h	1	2	2
186	1	1	2	1	3	2
212	1	1	1	3	3	3
243	3			3		
244	3			3		
209	1	1	2	2	2	2
213	3	2	3	1	1	2
183	1	2	1	3	3	3
232	3	3	3	2	2	2
228	3	3	3 unsuitable	2	2	2
241	2	3	3	1	2	1
246	2	2	2	1	1	1
240	2	3	3	1	2	1
191	2	2	3	2	2	2
201	3	3	3	1	1	1
204	1	1	1	2	2	2
155				3		number of
221	1	2	2	2	2	2
178	2	2	2	3	3	3
140	3	3	3	3	3	3
117	3			3		
181	3	3	3	3	3	3
80	2	2	2	3	3	3
17	1	1	1	3	3	3
149	2	2	2	3	3	2
169	2	1	2	2	2	2
53	1	1	2 looks a bit c	1	2	2 pity no bun
113	1	1	2	1	1	2
36				1	1	1
136	3	3	3	1		1
107	3			3		
70	1	1	1 best option	3	3	3 common la

11	3	3	3 far too mar	1	1	1
3	3	3	3 3	3	3	3 too much tr
96	3	3	3 hs2 too clo:	2	2	2
10	1	1	1 fits in well	2	3	2 plain design
64	1	1	1 seems best	2	2	3 would not g
63	1	1	1 tags onto o	2	3	2
109	2	2	2	1	1	1
69	1	2	2	1	1	1
75	1	2	1	3	2	2
50	1	1	1 in keeping v	2	3	2 basic design
90	1	1	1 blends natu	3	3	2 busy bus ro
71	1	1	1 by far the n	3		
78	2	2	2	1	2	2
83	1	1	1	3	2	2
58	3	3	3	1	1	1
94	1	2	2	3	2	3
40	3	3	3	3	3	3
12	1	2	1	1	1	1
42	3	3	3	1	1	1
46	3	2	3	2	2	1
49	1	1	2	2		
120	2	2	3 too many	3	2	2
0	3	2	3 too many	2	1	1
72						
8			smaller site			not too bad
13						
32						
27						
47						
48						
43	2	2	2			
37		2		1		
73						
18	3	3	3	2	2	2
33	2	1	2	2	2	2
6	3	3	3	3	3	3
108	1	1	2	3	3	3
110	1	1	1	1	1	1
65	2	2	2	3	3	3
76	1	2	1	3	2	2
134	2	2	2	3	3	3
112	2	2	3	3	3	3
16	1	1	1	3	3	3
111	3	3	3	3	3	3
138	1	1	1	2	2	2

Q4a	Q4b	Q4c	Q4d	Q5a	Q5b	Q5c	Q5d	Q6a
3	2	3			3	2	3	1
3	1	1	avoid comn		1	1	1 nice site no	3
2	1	2			3	2	3 too many fr	1
2	2	2			3	2	2	2
3	3	3			1	1	1	2
3	1	1	good conce		1	1	1 well though	3
3	2	3			1	2	1	1
3	2	3			1	2	1	1
3	3	3			3	3	3	3
3	3	3			3	3	3	3
3	3	3			3	3	3	1
3	2	2			3	3	3	1
2	2	2			1	1	1	3
3					2			3
2	2	2			2	2	2	3
3	3	3			3	3	3	2
3	2	3	already cha		3	2	3 too much ti	3
1	1	1	green home		1	1	1 shared ovr	2
1	1	1			3	3	3 too much b	1
3	3	3			3	3	3	2
2	2	2	2nd choice		3	2	2	3
2	2		1		2	2		3
3	3	3	extra traffic		2	2	2	3
3	3	3			3	3	3	3
youts unacceptable								
	2	2	1		2	2	2	3
	3	3	3		1	1	1	2
	3	3	3		1	1	1	2
	2	2	2		2	2	2	1
	1	1	1	like individt	2	2	2	2
	3	2	2		3	2	2	1
	2	2	1		1	1	1	3
	2	3	2		3	3	3	2
	3	3	3	Common la	2	1	2	1
	1	1	1		2	1	2	3
	1	1	1		1	1	1	3
	1				2			3
	2	2	2		1	1	2	3
	3	3	3		1	1	1	3
	2	1	1		1	2	2	3
	2	1	1		1	2	2	3
	2	1	2	eco housinç	1	1	1	3
	2		1		3		2	3
	1	1	1	like parking	2	2	3	3
	1	1	1	very good g	2	2	3	3
	3	3	3	10 4+ bed ii	2	2	2	3
	1	1	1	there will b	1	1	1	3
	2	1	2		3	2	3	1
	1	1	1		1	1	1	3
	3	3	3	putting the	2	1	2	1

3	2	2 increase ca	2	2	2	1
2	2	2 acceptable	2	2	2 acceptable	1
2	1	2	3	2	3 small area c	2
2	1	2 spacious de	3	3	3 green belt r	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	3
3	3	3 making twc	3	3	3 making twc	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
1	1	1	2	2	2	2
2	1	1	1	1	1	3
1	2	2	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
2	2	1 are there ei	1	1	1	
	2	3		3		3
2	2	2	2	2	2	3
2	2	2	2	2	2	3
1	1	1	1	1	1	3
2	2	2	2	2	2	3
2	2	2	2	2	2	3
3	3	3	2	2	2	3
3	2	2	1	2	1	1
2	2	2	3	2	3 large and ai	3
3	3	3 totally agai	3	3	3 infill view fr	1
3	2	3 clsoe to sch	2	2	2	3
3	1	1 depends on	3		3	1
3	3	2 small - mor	3	3	2 site slightly	3
2	1	3		3	3	
3	2	2	1	2	2	1
1	1	2	2	2	2	1
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3 as above	3	3	3	2
1	2	2	2	1	2	1
		3			3	
3	2	3	1	2	1	3
3	2	3	1	2	1	3
1	2	1	1	2	2	3
2			2			2
1	1	1	2	1	3	3
1	2	2	2	2	2	3
2	2	2	1	1	1	3
3	1	1	1	1	1	2
2						
3	1	3 love the coi	1	1	1 good mix of	3
1	1	pleasd to see non traditional designs				
2	2	2	1	2	2	3
3		3 not on gree	3		3 not on gree	2
1	1	1	1	2	3	3
3			3			3
3			3			3

3		3 green belt	3		3 green belt	2
1	1	1	2	2	2	3
1	1	2 on conditio	2	2	2	2
2	1	1 only if park	1	1	1 priority to c	3
1	1	1 if parking sc	1	1	1 consider ac	3
3	2	3 additional t	2	2	2 concern for	2
2	1	1 no provisio	2	1	3 good styles	3
3			3			3
1	1	1	2	1	1	3
2	2	2	2	2	2	3
3	2	2	3	3	2	3
2		only if scho	2			3
3			3			3
3	3	3	2	1	2	1
1	1	1	2	2	2	3
3	2	2 access to cc	3	2	2 close to ber	3
2	2	2	2	2	2	3
2	2	2	1	2	2	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	1
3			3			1
1	2	2	2	2	2	2
1	2	1	1	2	1	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	2
3			3			2
3			3			3
1	2	2	2	2	2	2
1	2	1	2	2	3	3
1	2	1	2	2	2	3
2	2	2	3	3	3	3
2	2	2	3	3	3	3
3	2	3	2	2	3	3
1	1	1	1		3	3
3	2	3	2	2	3	3
1	1	2	1	2	2	2
1	1	1	1	1	1	3
2	2	2	1	1	1	3
3		houses will look into my bedroom because of the drop from the fields				
2	2	2	1	2	2	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
1	1	1	2	2	2	3
3			3			3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	2	2	2	3
2	1	1	2	2	1	3
3	3	2	2	1	2	2
2	1	1	2	3	2	3
1	1	1 looks good	1	1	1 good locati	3
1	1	2	1	1	2	3
						3
1		1	1		1	3
3			3			3
3	3	3 no more tra	3	3	3 house prop	3

1	1	1 acceptable	1	1	1 nice layout,	3
1	1	1	2	2	1 lower densi	1
2	2	2	3	3	3 hs2 too clo	2
3	2	3 lovely hous	1	2	2 like locatio	3
2	1	3 seem expar	1	2	2 ok but smal	3
2	2	3	1	1	2 small site w	3
1	1	1	2	2	2	3
3	2	2	1	1	1	1
3	2	2	1	2	1	3
2	2	3 nice proper	1	2	2 good locati	3
3	2	3 impressive	1	1	2 blends with	3
3		worst one	2			3
1	3	2	2	2	2	1
1	2	2	1	2	2	2
1	1	1	3	2	2	3
3	2	3	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	2
1	1	1	1	1	1	3
1	1	1	3	3	3	1
3	2	2	1	1	1	3
2	1	2	2	1	2	2
3	2	2	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2	2	2	1

I think of school

2	2	2	2	2	2	
		3		2		
2	2	2	2	2	2	1
2	2	2	1	2	2	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	2	2	2	3
1	1	1	1	1	1	3
2	2	2	2	2	2	3
3	2	2	1	2	1	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	1	2	2	3
2	1	1	2	2	1	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
2	2	2	2	2	2	3

Q6b	Q6c	Q6d	Q7a	Q7b	Q7c	Q8a	Q8b	Q8c
	2	2		2	1 most reaso		3	1
	2	3 site too isol		3	2		1	2
	2	2 better to us		1	1 all affect se		1	1 all affect se
	2	3		2	1		1	1
	2	2		2	2		1	1
	2	3 wrong local		2	2		1	3 school park
	2	1		1	2		1	1
	2	1		1	2		1	1
	3	3		1	1		1	1
	3	3		3	3		1	1
	1	2		1	1 narrow roa		2	2 impact on s
	2	1		1	1 bus route		1	2 impact on s
	3	3		3	3		3	3
				1	1		1	1
	3	3 not part of		1			1	
	3	3 styles not ir		1	1		1	1
	2				1		1	1
	2	2 unattractiv		3	3		2	3
	1	1		1	1		3	3
	2	2		1	1		1	1
	2	3 too far fron		2	2		2	2
	3		3	3		1	1	1
	3	3		3	3		1	1 impact on s
	3	3		1	1		1	1
					3			
	3	3		2	3		1	2
	2	2		3	3		1	1
	2	2		3	3 doubt these		1	1
	2	3		1	1		2	3
	1	2		2	2		3	3
	2	1		1	1		1	1
	2	3		3	2		2	2
	2	2		2	2		2	1
	1	3		1	1		1	1
	3	3		1	2		1	2
	2	3		1	1		2	2
				1	1		2	2
	3	3		3	1		1	1
	3	3		1			1	2
	3	3		2	1		2	1
	3	3		2	1		2	1
	3	3 more suitec		1	2		1	2 too close tc
	2	1 provides hc		1	3		2	1
	3	3		1	1		2	2
	3	3		1	1		2	2
	3	3 NO NO NO		1	1 too large		3	3 will provide
	3	3 no understa		2	2 need extra		2	2 need extra
	2	2 better to us		1	1		1	2 proposed p
	3	3 not suitable		1	1 back lane c		1	1 congestion
	1	3 best option		1	1 will add to l		1	1 unacceptab

2	2	2	1 too much fr	1	1 too much fr
2	3 brownfield	1	1	2	3
2	3 preferred sit	1	1 all impact	2	1 all impact
2	3 developme	1	1 can;t be bu	1	1
3	3 not enough	1	1	1	1
3	3 making twc	1	1	1	1
3	3				
3	3	3	3 cross road c	1	1
3	3	3	3 it will make	1	1
2	2 not part of	1	1	2	2
3	3 out of villag	1	1	1	2
2	2	1	1	1	1
3	3	1	1	1	1
	2 this needs r	1	2	2	2
3	3 already reje	1	1	1	1
3	3	2	1	1	1
3	3	2	1	1	1
		1	3 vehicular ac	2	3
2	3 high volum	1	1	2	1
2	3	1	1	2	1
3	3	3	3	1	1
1	3	3	3	1	1
2	3 far too big l	1	1 too high	2	1
1	1 use brownf	3	3 traffic by sc	3	3 traffic by sc
2	3	2	1	1	1
2	2 will satisfy l	1	1 due to size	1	real concer
2	3 too far out	2	2 could help i	2	2
3	3 already reje	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2	1	1 school traff
1	1	1	1 cot to village		
3	3	1	1 1	1	1
3	3	2	1	1	1
2	2 reservation	1		1	3 additional p
2	1	2	3	3	3
	3	1	1	1	1
3	3	2	1	1	3
3	3	2	1	1	3
	3	1	1	2	3
		3			1 1
3	3 with hs2 hc	1	1	2	1
3	3 too many h	1	1 already bus	2	2 roads busy
3	3	2	1	1	1
2	3	1	1	1	1
2	3 reasonable	3	1	1	1
3	3 opposed or	1	1	1	1
	2	1	1	1	2
3	3 damage to	2	2	3	3
		2	1	1	1
		2	1	1	1

	2	1	1	1	1
3	3	1	1 dangerous	2	3 school park
2	3	1	1	1	1
2	2 can not be	2	1 parking on	1	2 school park
3	3 impact of a	1	1 traffic	1	2
2	3 village ame	2	2 access requ	1	2
3	3 too large n	1	1 back lane a	1	1
3	3	1	2	1	
2	3 not suitable	1	2	2	2
3	2	1	1	1	1
					2
	totally unsustainable wite brownfield really justification				
1	3	1	1	1	1
3	3	1	1	1	1
	not a good	1	1	1	1
3	3	2	2	2	2
3	3	1	1	1	1
2	2	1	1	1	1
		1	1	1	1
2	3 would be se	1	1	2	2
2	3 too much ti	1	1	2	2
2	2	3	3	1	1
	3	1	1	1	1
		1	1	1	1
2	3	2	1	1	1
1	2	2	1	2	3
1	2	2	2	2	2
3	3	1	1	2	2
3	3 too big and	1	1	2	2
3	3	1	1	3	3
2		2		1	
3	3	1	1	3	3
2	2	1	1	2	2
3	3	1	1	2	2
3	3	3	3	2	2
				1	
3	3	2	2	2	2
3	3	1	1	1	1
3	3	3	3	3	3
		1		1	
3	3	1	1	1	1
3	3			1	1
2	2	3	1	1	2
3	2	2	2	2	2
2	3	2	2	3	2
	too far and	1	2	2	2
1	2	1	1	3	1
3	3			3	2
3	3				
		1	1	1	1
3	3 car crash of	3	3 best option	1	1 small infill

3	3 can't see ev	1	1 not wanted	2	2
1	1	1	1	2	2
2	2	2	2	2	2
3	3 not actually	3	3 not affect t	1	2 cause more
3	3 not in villag	3	3 businesses	1	3 worried abo
3	3 too far fron	3	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	1	2
	3 no positive	3	3 increase bu	1	2 location aff
3	3 can't see ar	3	3 good locati	1	1 near school
				1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	3	1	3	3	3
3	3 a joke	1	1	3	3
2	3	2	2	1	1
2	3 although br	1	1	1	1
		1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	3	3
2	3	1	1	2	2
1	2 only advant	2	2	2	2
	2	1	1	1	1
2	2	1	1	2	2

very desperate to sell land

	3	2		3	
1	1	1	1	3	3
3	3	1	2	1	2
3	3	1	1	1	1
3	3	3	2		
1	1 not sustain	3	2	3	2
3	3	1	1	1	1
2	3	2	2	1	2
3	3	1	1	1	1
3	2	1	1	1	1
2	2	3	1	1	2
3	3	1	1	1	1
3	3 such a large	1	1	2	2

Q9a	Q9b	Q9c	Q10a	Q10b	Q10c	Q11a	Q11b	Q11c
	3	1		1	1		3	1
	1	3		3	2		2	2
	1	3 all affect se		1	1 all affect se		2	1 all affect se
	1	2		1	1		1	1
	2	2		2	2		1	1
	1	3		3	2		2	2
	1	1		1	2		2	3
	1	1		1	2		2	3
	1	1		1	1		1	1
	1	1		1	1		2	1
	1	1 impact on s		1	1 lot of traffic		2	2
	1	2 impact on s		1	1 bus route		2	2
	3	3		1	1		2	2
	1	1		2	1		1	1
	2			3			1	1
	1	1		2	1		2	1
	1	1		1	1		1	1
	2	2		2	2		3	2 they won't
	3	3		1	1 too many h		3	3
	1	1		1	1		1	1
	2	2		2	2		1	1
	1		3	2		1	1	
	1	1 impact on s		2	2		1	1 will use villk
	1	1		1	1		1	1
	2	3		2	3		1	1
	1	1		2	2		2	2
	1	1		2	2		2	2
	2	3		2	2		1	2
	3	3		2	2		1	1
	1	2 smaller nur		1	1		1	2 because of
	2	2		3	2		3	3
	2	1		1	2		1	1
	1	1		1	1		2	1
	1	2		1	2		1	2
	3	3		2	2		1	1
	2	2		2	2			
	1	1		3	1		1	1
	1	2		3	2		1	3
	2	1		2	1		1	1
	2	1		2	1		3	1
	2	2		3	3		1	1 totally unsu
	2	1		1	2		1	3
	3	3		1	1		1	1 totally unac
	3	3		1	1		1	1
	2	2 wrong sort		2	2 will depend		1	1 No! already
	2	2 need extra		2	2 need extra		3	3 all of the is:
	1	3 even 10 ho		1	1 needs cons		2	1
	3	3 congestion		3	3 congestion		1	1 congestion
	1	2 unacceptab		2	2 minimal im		3	1 best option

1	1 too much fr	2	1 too much fr	2	2 might near
2	3	2	2	1	2
2	1 all impact	1	1 all impact	1	1
1	2	2	1 can;t be bu	2	1 only if num
1	1	3	3	1	1 roads not o
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
3	3	2	2	2	3
1	2	3	3	2	2
1	1	1	1	2	1
	1 1		1 1		1 1
2	2	2	2	3	3
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	2	2	2	1	1
1	2	2	2	1	1
2	3	3	3	1	1 this will not
3	3	2	1	1	1
3	3	2	1	1	1
1	1	2	2	2	2
1	1	3	3	2	2
2	1	1	1	1	1 far too big i
3	3 traffic by sc	3	3 traffic by sc	2	2 some optio
1	1	2	1	1	1
1	2 concerns fc	1	2 depends or	3	1
3	3	2	2	1	2
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1 school traff	2	2 2	2	2
3	3 nATURAL IP	1	2 back lane tr	3	3 not much ir
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1
1	3 as above	1		1	1
3	2	2	2	3	3
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	2	3	3	3	1
1	2	3	3	3	1
2	3	2	2	1	1
	1 1		1 1	2	1
3	3	1	1	1	1
2	2 roads busy	1		1	1
1	1	3	1	1	1
2	3	2	2	1	1
1	1	3	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	2	1	2	2	1
3	3	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1

1		1	2	2	1
3	3	2	2	1	1 all traffic fr
2	2	2	1	1	1 peel bridge
1	2 school park	2	1	1	1 focused vol
1	2 only if car p	1	1	1	1 totally unac
1	3	2	2	1	1
2	3	1	1	1	1
1	2	1	2	1	1
2	2	2	2	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	2	2	2	3	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	1
2	2	2	2	1	1
1	1	1	1	2	2
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
3	3	2	2	2	3
3	3			1	1
2	2	1	1	1	1
2	2	1	1	1	1
3	3	2	2	1	1
1				2	
3	3	2	2	1	1
3	3	1	2	1	1
2	2	2	2	1	1
2	2	3	3	1	1
1					
2	2	2	2	3	3
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	2	2	3	3
1		1		1	
1	1	1	1	1	1
1				1	1
2	2	3	2	1	1
1	1	1	1	3	3
3	2	2	2	3	3
2	3	2	2	1	2
3	1	1	1	1	1 serious imp
				1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1 small infill	2	2	1	1 not accepta

3	3	3	3	1	1
2	2	2	2	3	3
2	2	3	1	1	5
2	2	against loca	3	2	out of way
1	3	traffic on ct	2	2	
2	2		2	2	
3	3		1	1	
1	1		1	1	
1	2		2	2	
1	2	affect schoo	3	3	minimal eff
1	1	near school	2	3	
1	1		2	2	
2	2		2	2	
3	3	3	3		1
3	3		2	2	
1	1		2	2	
1	1		1	1	
1	1		1	1	
3	3		1	1	
2	2		2	2	
2	2		2	2	
1	1		2	1	
2	3		2	2	

3		3			
3	3		2	2	3
1	2		2	1	3
1	1		1	1	1
			3	2	1
3	2		3	2	1
1	1		1	1	1
1	2		2	2	3
1	1		1	1	1
1	2		2	2	1
2	2		3	2	1
1	1		1	1	1
2	2		2	2	3

Q12a	Q12b	Q12c	Q12d	Q12e	Q13
2	4	4	4	5	1 Cala homes seems to have considered local res
2	5	5	4	1	3
5	3	2	2	4	1 any development is going to require developm
1	4	2	2	5	3 I would like to see the developers be made to a
2	4	5	5	1	3
2	4	5	5	1	3
1	4	5	5	3	2
1	4	5	5	3	2
5	5	5	5	5	5
1	5	5	5	5	5 Cala site finishes off the eyeline of the village. a
4	2	3	3	5	1 we should be concentrating on brown field site
4	2	3	3	5	1
2	3	4	4	1	5
5	5	5	5	1	5 I am aware that there is bound to be developm
4	3	2	2	1	5
5	5	5	5	5	4 refuse to rank impact ~I have been forced to ac
1	4	3	3	2	5 village needs a small amount of extra homes bu
3	5	1	1	2	4 CT planning is just an extension of village. Lyalv
4	2	1	1	5	3 too much build up on front line of back lane
5	5	5	5	5	5 please leave whittington alone
3	1	2	2	4	5
1	4	3	3	2	5 the village needs development. lyalvale has not
1	3	4	4	2	5 on cala site like new green walk way and parkin
5	5	5	5	5	5 no more houses required already too many car
5					
1	5	1	1	1	1 prefer none but if it has to go ahead lyalvale is l
1	4	5	5	2	5 bus routes may need questioning during develc
1	4	5	5	2	3
5	2	3	3	4	3
1	3	1	1	4	1
2	4	5	5	4	5
2	4	5	5	3	1 do not believe that any of the developers fully ;
1	4	3	3	2	5 is the school adequate? road congestion aroun
3	1	2	2	5	4 the smaller homes must have covenant to star
3	4	5	5	2	1 any infill development will have a significant de
1	4	2	2	3	5
4	3	2	2	1	5 prefer smaller developments with good design
5	1	2	2	3	4
2	3	4	4	1	5
3	5	4	4	1	2
2	4	1	1	3	5
1	4	2	2	3	5
3	4	2	2	1	5 Lyalvale is of no benefit to the village and woul
5	2	3	3	4	1
4	2	1	1	3	5 lyalvale too large and out of usual housing bou
4	2	1	1	3	5 very good idea to be able to see all developme
3	1	4	4	2	5 CT Planning provides what we are looking for w
1	4	3	3	2	5 Thank you for all the hard efficient and exceller
5	3	2	2	4	1
4	3	2	2	1	5 I back onto site concerned in back lane worries
5	5	5	5	2	1 feedback from questionnaire clearly showed th

2	4	5	3	1	
5	2	3	4	1	appalled by developers encroaching on green b
4	3	2	5	1	the area west of back lane is precious green be
5	3	2	5	1	at present cillage only just manage to serve loc
4	3	2	1	5	affordable houses go to original villagers or des
					have this lot considered that hs2 will close capr
					go and find brownfield sites on eastern avenue
1	3	2	4	5	
1	3	2	4	5	
4	2	1	3	5	small best but if have to have 110 homes is one
1	3	4	2	5	
5	2	1	4	3	there is too much traffice already at peak times
2	3	4	1	5	
3	2	1	5	4	whittington not the place to live anymore! we a
1	4	3	2	5	
1	4	3	2	5	
3	2	1	2	5	Like all expect lyalvale. cala concern with conge
					generally all poor with little thought towards lo
2	4	1	3	5	
2	4	1	3	5	
1	5	3	2	3	
2	4	5	3	1	
5	2	1	3	4	we will lose beauty and peacefulness and visito
2	3	4	5	1	brownfield sites should be used we have oppor
1	4	3	2	5	all infill sites should be considered
4	3	2	5	1	assess against school capacity and drs plus traff
1	3	2	4	5	small developments minimal impact do not adc
4	1	2	5	5	large scale development tend to destroy village
1	4	5	2	3	
5	2	1	4	3	keep impact on village facilities to minimum - s
					school is full, back lane already very busy
1	3	4	3	5	changes to village not acceptable - its big enoug
3	5	5	3	1	styles of buildings not shown by any. boundary
4	5	2	3	1	elford homes means horses and sheep have to
5	5	5	5	4	
2	3	4	1	5	
2	3	4	1	5	
2	4	1	3	5	need larger homes as we require more space. c
4	3	1	2	5	the village could bear two developments
5	2	1	4	5	should remain a village not a town with traffic l
5	2	1	4	5	concern for traffic when hs2 starts will have im
2	3	4	1	5	access roads to/from village will require upgrac
4	3	1	2	5	needs parking for centre of village and school s
1	4	3	2	5	
2	5	1	4	3	consider making all or part of development in c
3	2	4	1	5	all will impact on school drs and shops
2	4	5	3	1	
3	2	1	4	5	
1	4	3	2	5	impact on village traffic school and drs. traffic s
1	5	5	5	5	impact on village and create more chaos

5	5	5	5	1 dont build on green belt with brown field available
4	2	1	3	5 lyalvale - people will not walk from this to village
5	2	1	3	4 if CT and Czero happen must consider traffic and
2	4	3	1	5 need full review of traffic flow and parking to solve
4	1	3	2	5 traffic congestion massive problem already school
1	5	4	2	3 village roads narrow and congested
3	5	1	2	5 all should consider impact on school etc
				exc lyalvale all on green belt the only protection
1	4	2	3	5 need more facilities and traffic rises on all sites
4	1	2	3	5 lyalvale not sustainable no many properties available
		2	1	i dont wish to be told to rank not solve transport
2	3	4	1	5 impact on schools traffic and drs whittington will
5	5	5	2	5 object to lyalvale need to address flooding in hill
2	4	1	3	1 lyalvale is the least of the evils we must keep open
2	3	4	4	5 address traffic and facilities
3	2	1	4	5 concerns on pressure on village services
3	1	5	2	5 traffic on all is going to impact perhaps one way
2	5	5	5	4 access huge issue if hs2 goes ahead will further
2	2	4	5	1 whittington will no longer be lovely rural village
4	2	1	3	1 need to consider congestion
1	4	3	2	5 impact from traffic for all will be significant
1	5	4	3	5 thank you for opportunity to assess and contribute
				2 cala homes professional with good record of construction
				1 problem is infrastructure
				1 all impact on infrastructure
1	2	2	3	3
4	1	2	3	5 really good to see how interested residents are
2	5	1	4	3
4	1	3	2	5
4	1	3	2	5
5	4	1	2	3
1				
1	3	2	1	5
3	4	5	1	2
1	2	3	4	5
3	5	5	5	5
1	3	2	4	5
5	5	5	5	5 more school places required
5	5	5	5	5
2	5	5	2	5
1	5	3	2	4
2	4	5	1	3
2	3	1	4	5
2	4	1	3	5 must have houses of all descriptions
3	1	4	2	5 impact of additional traffic - joined up approach
	1			5
				the whole idea is going to put a strain on village
5	5	5	5	5 village not capable of supporting a new influx of
1	5	5	4	5 need enough affordable housing to enable own

5	1	1	1	5	
5	2	3	4	1	development should be low density and eco fri
4	2	3	5	1	hs2 already going to affect side of village amd v
1	5	4	2	3	need affordable more options for first time buy
1	4	5	2	3	should add value to business, bring in mix of ag
1	4	3	2	5	cala homes future proofs village for longer due
4	2	1	3	5	under no circumstances should lyalvale go ahea
5	2	4	3	1	proposed c zero site is very high whilst the hou
2	5	3	1	4	
1	4	5	2	3	like how back lane have minimal impact on traf
1	4	5	2	3	cala site seems to be for upmarket buyer - lose
1	3	5	2	4	
4	2	5	3	1	lyalvale is fantastic opportunity for young famil
1	5	4	2	3	village need some preference on purchasing pr
5	1	2	3	4	greatest issue us the shcool traffic in common l
1	5	5	5	5	school/common lane can't take more traffic. ac
5	5	5	5	4	greenbelt should stay greenbelt any developme
1	2	4	3	5	do not want lyalvale
4	2	1	5	3	too many houses proposed on elford homes sit
4	2	3	1	5	
1	3	4	2	5	need extra school places and impact on parking
3	4	4	1	2	proposals from elford homes better resolved th
5	4	2	3	1	must use brownfield site - cala too big for villag
	1	2			ct and c ero are within walking distance of villa
					planners will not please everyone
					each scheme has merits vital need for 2 bedroc
					none should be built - use brownfield first
					c zero homes seems to be best option out of a l
					if there was more housing available I would mo
					I think cala homes will be beneficial to the villa
3	1	4	2	5	
2	5	3	1	4	
5	1	2	4	3	
3	2	4	1	5	
1	3	4	2	5	
3	2	4	1	5	
		1		5	
1	3	4	2	5	
1	4	3	2	5	use existing previously developed sites or brow
2	4	5	1	3	traffic issues and impact on local services will b
1	5	3	2	4	
5	5	5	5	5	you are building on greenbelt land and it is not
1	3	4	2	5	lyalvale - the representative of this team rubbish

idents whose views/outlook will be affected. They have proposed additional parking for local residents who
ents and issues around traffic schools and services such as GP. Also feel that we should use brownfield site
adopt a covenant with council district to only sell their properties to locals regarding starter homes. so wh.

affordable homes look excellent
is in line with government policy. Why ruin the green fields of whittington?

ient sooner or later but the development likely to cause least impact on village would be elford homes. Trai

cept them
it need to be affordable for young people and also one bed room. Consideration for extra usage of school
vale I find unattractive and unrelated to whittington if they built it tomorrow I doubt we'd notice unless they

t benefit to whittington. Concerned about building near school and HS2 so think back lane and huddlesford
ing allowing people to walk into village
s in whittington - look at church st and common lane

brownfield site and negates need for other sites. Minimum number of houses built (35) hard to get round t
opments and parking in back lane needs restricting. if garages were larger people park cars in them

appreciate the impact of their proposals on village infrastructure especially traffic
d school is already unacceptable. whittington site will help local businesses. Lyvalvale not benefit business
te they go to first time buyers already in village - gives younger community chance to stay. Swan developm
 detrimental effect on the village community and is therefore unacceptable

and variation. Concerned mature trees and hedges preserved and common lane able to cope (without road

d cause more traffic. Not suitable for school bus services and not sustainable. IF had been ammunition fact

ndaries be better closer to existing housing. Smaller scale better esp if green
nts together and judge scale. not sure we need so much development esp cala homes and lyalvale
with benefits. willing to discuss staff and visitor parking for school. Company appears to provide housing we
rt work done by the working group; professional and informative.

about amount of housing being built car port not sufficient, public right of way being used at back of house
at villagers did not want the rural nature of the village changed. Proposals for infill are unacceptable they

felt such schemes due to relaxed planning regulations to simplify the building of even more houses in rural
It which is already under huge pressure from hs2. we cannot have hs2 and new homes built adjacent to ba
al people we already experience traffic issues in most of the village esp main st, church st and around schoo
scendents not able to afford to live in village. rented properties should also only be for above. Cala - lighting
pers lane one road only out of the village
or fradley (old brown field sites)

big one better

s due to volume and parked cars adn roads not wide enough. school is at capacity and wont be able to acco

already have hs2 and giant wind farm now have more housig sites aren#t local farmer wealthy enough? ver

ested area of back lane if taffic could be taken off at the top end of back lane near the elford houses propos
ocal vernacular character of community. Whittington deserves better all the potential developers should go

ors we attract and become a few houses trapped by roads. any development will affect school and drs alrea
tunity to do this with lyalvale. Against elford homes as will have visible impact on my property

fic impact.

dress long term needs. lyalvale out on a limb and although brownfield the location is poor. CAAla homes mos
e. with sh2 and the wind turbine where is the peace going?

chool can't take some of the village children

gh

diminish by builiding on green belt, infill best
move fields

development outside of village make traffic more family homes in village mean fewer spaces at school take

lights and traffic congestion. shcool is already large main st blocked during rush hour and common lane is a
pact on village for years add constructioon and bring village to chaos. local school struggle

ding as to width and condition- suitable school essential

ince will impact on these. need more 2 and 4 bed homes facilities for young people in village already limite

contemporary exciting design - reflect building techniques and materials available today

so bad now with extra houses and needs to be sorted before adding more

able

ze the traffic will be significant

id parking. Retaining hedge on CT seems unnecessary could be moved back for herringbone layby. preferat

ee full impact

ool drs etc pressure

n we have against sprawl. lyalvale wrong for many reasons explored in original planning application and cor

nd no connection to village. cala have servere traffic impacts on back lane links to swan park are good. caer
rt or school issues

ll not longer be a village

uddlesford lane. need school car park

ur rural historic character

y streets are solution and smaller buses that do not pass through village

r overload common lane

e extensive disruption to village with construction

oute comments

reating the deisng and type of homes our village is in need of

: in a positive way

h needed

e

of people.

1 children to live here. Cala homes considers traffic and integrated approach

endly - plenty of green space

village looking poorer in appearance already school situation crazy and so is traffic on cappers and back lane

yers - like cala makes most use of facilities and great location

ges, have affordable houses, use green play areas already here, keep traffic away from school

to number of homes to be built a one off disruption

ad

ses themselves would have a view of the rooftops of the rest of the village and dominate the area

ffic need for affordable houses, utilise recreational areas

dynamics of village. Businesses will thrive if developed.

ly

operties so that families can remain in village

lane - encourage county council to develop old school site

ccess to roads are poor

ent will turn village into small town with inadequate facilities and increase congestion

the impact on back lane well used public bridle path will be lost

3

that others - attractive development of appropriate character

ge, lyalvale would need own facilities. c zero value modest in terms of land used and good conception - can

ge = cash from developers could be used to buy and develop the old school site (polesworth did this) as ha

om homes. Impact on existing services needs resolving without changing rural nature of village. All proposa

lot of profit making organisations. I do not consider bungalows to be good use of space and village does no

ove back to whittington (had to move out to Lichfield) back lane ideal to build houses behind existing ones.

ge plus not having to much of an impact

infield sites as much as possible

ie beyond current capacity

acceptable to ruin yet another village for profit both to the builders and sellers of land

shed my concern on traffic problems. since their last application they have not offered an alternative

o currently have none to alleviate the congestion near the junction at Back Lane

where possible and not set a precedent of using greenfield. Also gets to show LDC that housing allocation in
at has happened on the Swan site would not be repeated

ffic and schools/medical services for others would create many problems

l, drs, shops and roads. I can not visualise anyone allowing houses to be built opposite Whittington school t
r kids went to school nearby

l lane are best options and could enhance village

to each developer. Like to see all terraced or semi detached to preserve village feel

ment were to go to first time buyers but not the case - somebody bought 3 and trying to rent at very high rei

dworks). impressed by some developers attempting to understand impact. Thank you for opportunity to vi

:ory would never consider for residential

: want on edge of village but will part of village

es being invaded use to peace and quiet, houses devalued. Bigger housing schemes impact on parking traff
significantly change the village, least impact is Elford Homes. Lyalvale just needs management of traffic thr

areas. local farmers/landowners capitalise - cala homes & elford homes. common lane Traffic unacceptable
ck lane/ recommend new homes are built east of village
ol. Drs and school can't cope with more pressure. David Cameron swore to protect green belt and fields and
g and parking needs addressing. Lyalvale - unsuitable roads

ommodate existing residents. also strain on doctors

ry depressing

sed site it will draw traffic out of village rather than the temptation to drive through. all small sites good but
o back to the drawing board and offer us better. it should not be the case that we have to pick the least wor

rdy stretched. already congested in village

st natural growth for village regards location/size/shape

n up by children outside of village so less traffic

o no-go during school drop off/pick up

rd and bus service inadequate - all needs addressing

able to have mini roundabout at end of sandy lane. Lyalvale needs community building

could lead to infill.

Bro and Elford acceptable and meet target. prefer spread around village

es.

not develop with hs2

rd standing car park - buy land adjoining c zero site as car park. can not respond to hoisig and traffic servic

Is increase traffic

It need more 4/5 bed homes needs affordable housing for younger people

s done in one go.

traffic is horrendous at the best of times.

nt

ew

ic schoo and doctors
rough village bigger school and drs

so c zero and ct planning need to sort

I urge LDC to reject any proposals

lyalvale definitely not
st.

ces without indication of increase